

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,145	06/16/2006	Toshihiro Hanada	1001560-000597	2583	
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 04/02/201 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			BASKIN, JEREMY S		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3753			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,145	HANADA, TOSHIHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeremy S. Baskin	3753	

	Jeremy S. Baskin	3753						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	re period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Aminier Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	Jianas with 27 CER 41 27 must be	Elad within two worth	a of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise me wissues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		.,,						
 Application to provide a member of the following rejection(a): 								
7. \(\sum \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected. <u>I and 4-7.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-10.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFF 4.13(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Robin O. Evans/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3753	/Jeremy S. Baskin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3753							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In Claim 1, line 9, the newly added limitation recting where the arc-shaped pressing surface is "To be centered around" the axis of rotation of the rotating member would require a further search and/or consideration in view of the prior art of record. Applicant's arguments explain how the newly added limitation overcomes the prior art of Young (3,511,468), it should be noted that the prior art of Mehus (4,372,345), as applied in the first Office action, teaches where an arc-shaped pressing surface is centered about an axis of rotation of a rotating member.